

Time-dependent transport of electrons through nanosystems in a photon cavity

Viðar Guðmundsson

Science Institute, University of Iceland

vidar@hi.is

2016

Macroscopic low temperature assisted transport. . .

Experimental impetus. . .

PHYSICAL REVIEW X 6 021014 (2016)

Cavity Photons as a Probe for Charge Relaxation Resistance and Photon Emission in a Quantum Dot Coupled to Normal and Superconducting Continua

L. E. Bruhat,¹ J. J. Viennot,^{1,2} M. C. Dartiailh,¹ M. M. Desjardins,¹ T. Kontos,¹ and A. Cottet^{1,*} ¹Laboratoire Pierre Aigrain, Ecole Normale Supérieure-PSL Research University, CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie-Sorbonne Universités, Université Paris Diderot-Sorbonne Paris Cité. 24 rue Lhomond, F-75231 Paris Cedex 05. France ² JILA and Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA (Received 11 November 2015; revised manuscript received 4 March 2016; published 9 May 2016)

Microwave cavities have been widely used to investigate the behavior of closed few-level systems. Here, we show that they also represent a powerful probe for the dynamics of charge transfer between a discrete electronic level and fermionic continua. We have combined experiment and theory for a carbon nanotube quantum dot coupled to normal metal and superconducting contacts. In equilibrium conditions, where our device behaves as an effective quantum dot-normal metal iunction, we approach a universal photon dissipation regime governed by a quantum charge relaxation effect. We observe how photon dissipation is modified when the dot admittance turns from capacitive to inductive. When the fermionic reservoirs are voltage biased, the dot can even cause photon emission due to inelastic tunneling to/from a Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer peak in the density of states of the superconducting contact. We can model these numerous effects quantitatively in terms of the charge susceptibility of the quantum dot circuit. This validates an approach that could be used to study a wide class of mesoscopic QED devices.

Coupling to external fermionic reservoirs. . . , Gate voltage excitation, V_{rf} Photon pumping, $\langle N_{\gamma} \rangle \sim 120$...

FIG. 1. Panels (a) and (b): Scanning electron micrograph of the microwave resonator and the quantum dot circuit. Panel (c): Principle of our setup. The dot level is tunnel coupled to the N and S reservoirs and modulated by the cavity electric field. Panel (d): Current through the S contact versus the effective gate voltage V_a and the bias voltage V_b .

 Ω

Transport of electrons through dots in a photon cavity

Exactly interacting electrons and photons, geometry

Equation of motion

Liouville-von Neumann

$$
\partial_t W = \mathcal{L}W, \quad \mathcal{L}W = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H, W]
$$

 $H = H_{\rm S} + H_{\rm LR} + H_{\rm T}(t)$, $H_{\rm S} = H_{\rm e} + H_{\rm EM}$

$$
H_{\rm S} = \int d^2 r \psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \left\{ \frac{\pi^2}{2m^*} + V(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \psi(\mathbf{r}) + H_{\rm Coul} + \hbar \omega a^{\dagger} a
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{c} \int d^2 r \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\gamma} - \frac{e}{2m^* c^2} \int d^2 r \; \rho(\mathbf{r}) A_{\gamma}^2
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi} = \left(\mathbf{p} + \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}_{ext}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{\rho} = -e\psi^{\dagger}\psi, \quad \mathbf{j} = -\frac{e}{2m^*} \left\{\psi^{\dagger} \left(\boldsymbol{\pi}\psi\right) + \left(\boldsymbol{\pi}^*\psi^{\dagger}\right)\psi\right\}
$$

Quantized cavity field

$$
\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x \\ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} \left\{ a + a^{\dagger} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{\pi y}{a_c}\right) \\ \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{a_c}\right) \end{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{\pi z}{d_c}\right), \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{TE}_{011}, & x \text{-pol.} \\ \text{TE}_{101}, & y \text{-pol.} \end{array}
$$

Projection on the central system

Reduced density operator

$$
\rho_{\rm S}(t) = \mathcal{P}W(t) = \rho_{\rm LR}(0) {\rm Tr}_{\rm LR} W(t)
$$

Liouville-von Neumann ⇒ Nakajima-Zwanzig equation (to 2nd order in H_{T})

$$
\partial_t \rho_{\rm S}(t) = \mathcal{L}_{\rm S} \rho_{\rm S}(t) + \int_0^t dt' K[t, t - t'; \rho_{\rm S}(t')]
$$

with

$$
K[t, s; \rho_{\rm S}(t')] = {\rm Tr}_{\rm LR} \{ [H_{\rm T}(t), [U(s)H_{\rm T}(t')U^+(s),U_{\rm S}(s)\rho_{\rm S}(t')U_{\rm S}^+(s)\rho_{\rm L}\rho_{\rm R}]] \}
$$
(1)

and

$$
H_{\rm T}(t) = \sum_{i,l} \chi(t) \int dq \left\{ T_{qi}^l c_{ql}^\dagger d_i + (T_{qi}^l)^* d_i^\dagger c_{ql} \right\} \tag{2}
$$

Spectrum of closed systems, *y*-polarized cavity photons

Rabi-oscillations seen in transport current

Initial state: fully entangled 2-e Rabi-split $|21\rangle$ and $|22\rangle$

Charge density oscillations

 $t = 10 \text{ ps}$ $t = 60 \text{ ps}$

Variable probability in contact area \rightarrow variable current

Consequences of geometry

Questions

- What happens beyond 300 ps?
- \blacksquare How long time is needed to get 2 electrons into the system?

 $\mathbb I$

- Steady state?
- Are there different time-regimes?

\blacksquare Time-integration not feasible

- Consider Markovian instead of non-Markovian system
- **Continue with no rotating wave approximation**
- **Start with short quantum wire without embedded dots**

Spectrum of closed system vs. plunger gate voltage *V^g*

x-polarization,
$$
\hbar \omega = 0.8
$$
 meV, $g_{EM} = 0.05$ meV,
 $\hbar \Omega = 2.0$ meV, $B = 0.1$ T

 $2Q$

Nakajima-Zwanzig

$$
\partial_t \rho = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[H_{\rm S}, \rho \right] - \sum_l \Lambda(\Omega_{ql}, \tau_{ql}, \chi_l, t)
$$

with

$$
\Lambda(\Omega_{ql}, \tau_{ql}, \chi_l, t) = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int dq \ \chi_l(t) \left\{ [\tau_{ql}, \Omega_{ql}(t)] + h.c. \right\}
$$

where,

$$
\Omega_{ql}(t) = \int_0^t ds \ \chi(s) U_{\rm S}(t-s) \left\{ \tau_{ql}^{\dagger} \rho(s) (1 - f_{ql}) -\rho(s) \tau_{ql}^{\dagger} f_{ql} \right\} U_{\rm S}^{\dagger}(t-s) e^{i(s-t)\omega_{ql}}
$$

Change of variable $t - s \rightarrow s'$, set $\rho(t - s) \rightarrow \rho(t)$

use

$$
\int_0^t ds \exp[i s (E_{\nu} - E_{\mu} - \epsilon_{ql})] \to \pi \delta(E_{\nu} - E_{\mu} - \epsilon_{ql})
$$

and

$$
\int dq A(q) \delta(E_{\alpha} - E_{\beta} - \epsilon_{ql}) = \int d\epsilon (dq/d\epsilon) A(\epsilon) \delta(E_{\alpha} - E_{\beta} - \epsilon)
$$

$$
= A^{\alpha\beta} D^{\alpha\beta}
$$

 $\chi_l(t) \to \theta(t)$

Leads to

$$
\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \left\{ \mathcal{R}[\rho]_{\alpha\beta} - \mathcal{S}[\rho]_{\alpha\beta} \right\} \delta^{\beta\alpha}
$$

$$
\mathcal{R}[\rho] = \rho \pi f \tau^{\dagger}, \quad \mathcal{S}[\rho] = \pi (1 - f) \tau^{\dagger} \rho
$$

Introduce

$$
\Delta_{\alpha\beta} = \delta^{\alpha\beta} = \delta(E_{\alpha} - E_{\beta} - \epsilon)
$$

to obtain

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha\beta} = \int DA_{\alpha\lambda} \Omega_{\lambda\sigma} B_{\sigma\beta} d\delta^{\sigma\lambda}
$$

$$
\Downarrow
$$

$$
\mathcal{Z} = \int DA \left\{ (\mathcal{R}[\rho] - \mathcal{S}[\rho]) \odot d\Delta^T \right\} B
$$

Hadamard product

$Fock \rightarrow$ Liouville space

Use vectorization and Kronecker tensor product

$$
\text{vec}(\mathbf{AXB}) = \left\{ \mathbf{B}^T \otimes \mathbf{A} \right\} \text{vec}(\mathbf{X})
$$

dim(Fock-space of states)∼ *N*

→ dim(Liouville-space of transitions)∼ *N*²

Markovian equation of motion

$$
\partial_t \rho_{\rm S}^{\rm vec} = \mathcal{L} \rho_{\rm S}^{\rm vec}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{L} = \left\{ -\frac{i}{\hbar} (I \otimes H - H^T \otimes I) + \sum_{X=R,S} (\mathfrak{Z}_{X_1} \mathfrak{Z}_{X_2}) \right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{Z}_{X_1} = \int (B^T \otimes DA) \operatorname{Diag}(\Delta^T), \qquad X = R, S
$$

$$
\mathfrak{Z}_{R_2} = \int \operatorname{Diag}(\Delta^T) (I \otimes R)
$$

$$
\mathfrak{Z}_{S_2} = -\int \operatorname{Diag}(\Delta^T) (S^T \otimes I)
$$

with solution

$$
\rho^{\rm vec}_{\rm S}(t) = \left[\mathcal{U} \exp \left(\mathcal{L}_{\rm diag} t \right) \mathcal{V} \right] \rho^{\rm vec}_{\rm S}(0)
$$

where

$$
LV = V\mathcal{L}_{\text{diag}}, \quad U\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{diag}}U, \quad UV = VU = \mathcal{I}
$$

Steady state can be found as the eigenvalue 0 of

$$
0 = \mathcal{L}\rho_{\rm S}^{\rm vec}
$$

but we use

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \left[\mathcal{U} \exp \left(\mathcal{L}_{\text{diag}} t \right) \mathcal{V} \right] \rho_{\text{S}}^{\text{vec}}(0)
$$

Here,
$$
N = 120
$$
, $V_g = -1.6$ mV

 $2Q$

Spectrum of closed system vs. plunger gate voltage *V^g*

x-polarization,
$$
\hbar \omega = 0.8
$$
 meV, $g_{EM} = 0.05$ meV,
 $\hbar \Omega = 2.0$ meV, $B = 0.1$ T

 $2Q$

Mean electron and photon number

 $\overline{1}$ 1

 0.8

 299

 $g_{\text{EM}} = 10^{-6}$ meV

 $1x10^{10}$

 $1x10^{10}$

 $1x10^{12}$

 $1x10^{12}$

Occupation

 299

Different initial state: 0, 1, or 2 photons

Different cavity photon leakage

$$
\cdots + \frac{\kappa}{2\hbar} \left([a\rho, a^\dagger] + [a, \rho a^\dagger] \right)
$$

Spectrum of the Liouvillian

Conclusions

- We can analyze the long time evolution of a complex open system
- We can identify regimes of different types of transitions, electromagnetic, non-electromagnetic
- We are working on the current, into and through the system
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.08248>

Collaboration and support

- Þorsteinn Hjörtur Jónsson (UI)
- Andrei Manolescu (RU)
- Chi-Shung Tang (NUU)
- Hsi-Sheng Goan (NTU)
- Anna Sitek (UI)
- Nzar Rauf Abdullah (KUS)
- Maria Laura Bernodusson (ALUF)
- University of Iceland Research Fund
- The Icelandic Research Fund
- The Taiwan Ministry of Technology
- The Icelandic Infrastructure Fund

